



The Power of Certainty: measuring endpoint risk in the public sector

Industry experts offer insights and guidance for public sector agencies on measuring risks in today's fast-moving, highly-distributed world.





Expert advice on measuring risk

Managing risks begins with measuring risks.

But how do you measure risks in a meaningful way? Should you tally every software vulnerability in the organization? Do you need to make a list of all the endpoint devices requiring software patches? Should you report the uptime stats for your organization's most critical applications?

When your job involves measuring risk, you need to focus on what's meaningful to your audience. And for the most important decisions about risk, your audience is your agency leaders or oversight bodies.

In this eBook, three IT industry experts share their wisdom on the practice of measuring risk in the most practical, comprehensive, and actionable way.

At the end of the eBook, we include a checklist summing up the advice presented by these experts.

Let's get started.



Measuring what matters: aligning risk measurement with your organization's goals

If you ask most IT security experts, risk is everywhere. It's in unpatched endpoints, new malware variants, phishing attacks, shadow IT cloud services, laptops left on park benches — the list goes on. With so many technical details contributing to risk, your team might be wondering how to approach the important work of measuring risk in your organization.

Of course, no one measures risk simply for the sake of measuring risk. Risk assessments are conducted to provide information to decision makers. So the real question is this: How do you measure risk in ways that help your organization's leaders understand risk so they can make the right decisions to reduce it?

Measuring risks that matter to your organization's department head

To answer this question, let's start at the top. Decisions about an organization's goals and major initiatives can be decided at many levels, but the buck usually stops at the department head or elected official level. And that means if you'd like to measure risk in a way that will make an impact, it's important to tie the impact of high risk to the initiatives your leadership is focused on.

Most organizations in the public sector have goals that include the following:

- Service continuity
- Data confidentiality, integrity, and availability (data CIA)
- Regulatory compliance

Let's consider each of these in turn.



Measuring risk associated with service continuity

Service continuity in the public sector means operating in a way that delivers services to your stakeholders, whether they're students, business owners, residents, or the general public. It's expected those services will be available at any time and in today's world, from anywhere.

There are a number of barriers to delivering services seamlessly: disaster response and recovery can fall into this category, as does cyber threats.

Measuring risk associated with data confidentiality, integrity, and availability

In every industry, people recognize the importance of data — it's the “new oil” of the digital economy — and the importance of protecting data that is confidential.

The challenges of securing that data have increased. For one thing, sensitive data is being accessed from more locations than ever before in the world of a work-from-home (WFH) workforce — one that increasingly relies on bring-your-own-device (BYOD) rather than laptops and desktops tested and provisioned by the IT department.

But no matter where from or how employees are accessing data, organizations need to ensure data confidentiality, integrity, and availability (or, as it's known in some IT circles, “data CIA”).



Measuring risk associated with regulatory compliance

When we think about data privacy, we naturally think about regulations such as the GDPR and HIPAA, which mandate personal data protection.

But public sector organizations are all too aware of other regulations, covering everything from Department of Defense (DoD) cybersecurity supply chain requirements to racial discrimination, which organizations work hard not to violate. Regulatory failures can result in hefty fines, contract cancellation, and bad publicity that can damage reputations for years.

To measure risk effectively, you need to know what regulations matter to your organization's leadership. Then, you track the IT assets and processes that help determine whether or not your organization complies with these regulations.

Framing risk with strategic initiatives

If you want to get the attention of your executive leaders, frame your discussion of risk measurements in terms of your organization's top initiatives. In other words, identify and weigh your organization's various technical, regulatory, and other risks and show how they relate to the organization's high-level goals.

You'll find that framing your risk measurements this way helps focus your work. And it makes your work more likely to be understood and appreciated by department leaders who are tasked with successfully completing their initiatives.



Measuring risk by identifying value supply chains

In this chapter, we go into more detail about measuring risks to achieving an organization's goals. We'll discuss the importance of weighted scales for various risks and even for the objectives themselves.

Identifying risks associated with the organization's top initiatives

The work of measuring risks begins by identifying your organization's top initiatives and then exploring the people, processes, and technology that support the pursuit of those goals.

Think of it as supply chain analysis. You're tracing the flow of data, people, and operations from a high-level charter or initiative down to the specific IT systems and processes that help the organization achieve that goal. Those systems and processes function as a kind of supply chain for the goals themselves.

To measure risk, identify the dependencies in this supply chain and trace them as far as makes sense for your organization's capabilities. To compare risks within the supply chain itself, everything within the supply chain needs to be assigned a score.

Building a weighted scale for risks

Even the strategic goals themselves need to be compared and weighted. It's rare for an organization to treat all its strategic goals equally.

Once you've identified those goals, assign them scores on some kind of scale, such as 1 to 10. For example, based on conversations with your department head, you might assign service uptime a score of 10 and regulatory compliance a score of 7.

Next, identify the people, processes, and technology involved in supporting each objective and rank the importance of each of those supporting factors.

To provide further nuance, you might estimate the likelihood of a particular type of failure occurring. For example, imagine your organization has a web server supporting a mission-critical mobile app. The odds of that server delivering unacceptably slow performance during a period of peak usage are probably higher than the odds of that same server succumbing to a power outage that crashes both the main and backup power systems.

By multiplying a score for the strategic importance of the server (say, 7 out of 10) by the likelihood of a specific risk (say, 50% or 0.5), you can begin ranking risks and identifying the risks that require more immediate action.

For example, the server delivering slow performance might have a likelihood of 40%, and the server crashing in a catastrophic power outage might have a likelihood of 2%. If the server's importance is 7 out of 10, then the risk score for the slow performance scenario would be 7 times .40 (which yields 2.8). The risk score for the power outage scenario would be 7 times 0.02 (which yields 0.14). The slow-performance scenario, which has the higher risk score, is obviously the risk that needs attention first.



The importance of collaboration in measuring risk

Performing this type of risk assessment requires collecting detailed information about people, processes, and technology across the organization. The IT department is going to have to reach out for help.

Ask for help from every department whose processes and technology you're evaluating. For example, if you really want to understand the risks surrounding the HR department's applications, talk to people in the HR department. They might know things about an application's importance that the IT operations team has overlooked.

When you're talking to people outside the IT department, minimize the use of technical jargon. Also, never tell somebody how to do something without first asking them how they think it should be done. If you impose a solution on people, you might miss out on a creative alternative. You might also find that people balk at following a new policy that affects them directly without ever taking their ideas into account.

Risk management is an organizational issue, not an IT issue

When people outside the IT department realize that you trust them and that you're genuinely interested in what they have to say, they'll communicate with you more freely. They're also more likely to take ownership of the risk management solutions you put in place together.

This ongoing collaboration is one of the benefits of taking a "supply chain" approach to measuring risk. You'll not only discover the details you need for measuring risks more precisely. You'll also educate stakeholders across the organization about the importance of risk measurement and risk mitigation. And you'll get the opportunity to collaborate with these stakeholders on developing solutions to minimize the risks you've both identified.

Bringing risk assessment into the age of cloud computing and WFH

The first thing to change about risk assessments is their timeliness. If reports are based on data collected once a year, they're going to be inaccurate most of the time.

We all know that the pace of operating is faster than ever. Data, devices, software, constituent expectations — all these things are continually in flux. Risk assessments need to reflect that flux.

Fortunately, IT departments have new tools that can help improve the accuracy of risk assessments. Real-time endpoint monitoring, for example, can report on the location, IT health, and activity of endpoints at any location, including in home offices. This monitoring works over standard internet connections without requiring VPNs.

With these modern tools, IT teams can collect even more comprehensive, up-to-date, and accurate endpoint data than they could when most endpoints were still on internal networks and being monitored only sporadically by traditional endpoint management tools.

The second thing to do is measure risk over time. Department heads want to know if the risk control measures that have been put in place are working. Risk teams should track the metrics that indicate whether or not the organization is achieving its goals for managing risk.

The third thing is to have data-driven conversations with leadership teams about risk. Here's where that more timely and comprehensive data pays off. With improved visibility into endpoints and other IT assets, you can have a more meaningful discussion about which initiatives and investments in certain tools are working and which aren't.

Four key elements of risk management

Keeping your organization's upcoming initiatives in mind, here are four steps to follow for managing risk in a distributed public sector organization.

Data collection

This means collecting all the data necessary to measure risks related to your organization's top initiatives. That data will obviously include endpoint data, as well as environmental and user data.

Analysis

Once you've collected data, analyze it, preferably leveraging automation, if possible. Your analysis is more likely to be time-consuming and error-prone if your analysis depends on multiple Excel spreadsheets and printouts. If you've created scorecards for assessing risks, you can automate tabulations and make analysis an ongoing process rather than a once-a-year snapshot.

Reporting

This step involves synthesizing risk metrics and analysis for leadership reporting. These reports will guide your organization's discussions about risks, priorities, rolling out new initiatives, and more. In these reports, frame risk analysis in terms of the goals your department heads and beyond focus on continually.

Remediation

There are two types of risk remediation. First, there are the actions taken daily by IT security and IT operations personnel to respond to threats, such as malware infections. These actions don't require leadership approval. Second, there are the actions taken by the IT and other leaders in response to the leadership-level reports created in the first three steps of this process. Organizations should undertake both forms of risk remediation.

Over the last year, many public sector organizations reinvented themselves as more agile and more distributed.

Now public sector organizations have the chance to reinvent their risk assessment processes as well. By taking advantage of real-time data and automation, organizations can reduce risks and improve the security of their remote workforces at the same time.



Data collection



Analysis



Reporting



Remediation



The importance of making risk assessment an ongoing process

Measuring risk is complicated work. Fortunately, new technology can help make risk assessment an automated process.

Every organization is threatened by risk, but assessing that risk is harder than ever before. In this chapter, we explain what makes risk assessment so difficult and how taking a top-down approach to measuring risk can streamline this work and help organizations make better decisions.

Why measuring risk has become more difficult

Why is measuring risk so difficult these days? Here are four reasons.

Difficulty #1: Disparate, varied IT assets

Twenty years ago, IT risk assessments mostly consisted of counting employees' PCs and the servers in data centers, looking at likely vulnerabilities for various models of hardware, and producing a report.

Today, the IT assets to be cataloged and analyzed might be distributed over, say, numerous offices, many data centers (most of which are managed by vendors), and thousands of home networks. And a significant portion — probably at least 20% — of that distributed architecture consists of “shadow IT” — that is, products and services employees have adopted without the formal approval and continuous oversight of the IT department.

In this highly distributed, difficult-to-catalog IT environment, traditional risk-measurement tools and approaches simply won't work.

Difficulty #2: IT complexity

A second reason why risk assessment is difficult is IT complexity. It's not just that there are more devices; how software is built and operates has changed.

The age of large, monolithic applications is over. Today's IT infrastructure comprises lots of small and medium-sized components working together to create a greater whole.

For example, a constituent services application might rely on 75 different IT components to work. Those components might range from UI code to multiple back-end databases. The risks associated with each of those components affect the risks of the application overall.

Difficulty #3: Sophisticated security attacks

Third, organizations are under attack by a growing collection of cybercriminals, many of whom have access to highly sophisticated technologies.

Twenty years ago, attackers were mostly mischief-makers, computer programmers interested in finding ingenious ways to cause trouble. Today, attackers include nation-states, criminal syndicates, and malicious "script kiddies" willing to spend fifty bucks on the Dark Web to buy a malware or a credential-stuffing script and a list of corrupted credentials.

Difficulty #4: Shared responsibilities

A final difficulty? A recent trend in risk management calls for sharing risks more broadly with business units. The IT group might lead an organization's risk assessment project. But now, department leaders and oversight bodies are asking business-unit leaders to step up and take responsibility for the risk affecting their operations.

To address these difficulties, take a top-down approach to measuring risk, as described in the earlier chapters of this eBook. Identify the "supply chains" supporting each strategic goal and collect as much real-time information about the status of each supply chain as necessary.

Measuring risk is an ongoing strategic activity

Both measuring and reporting on risk are necessary activities, not just for internal benefit of understanding and taking action on your risk posture but also to secure cyber insurance amongst changing requirements. Insurance eligibility is being redefined every day, leaving many public sector agencies with higher premiums or without coverage at all. Insurance companies are making these changes in response to ballooning ransomware payouts. It's important that instead of paying top dollar for premiums, you take action early on measuring your cyber hygiene practices and understanding your measurement of risk.

You'll know if you have an effective practice in place for measuring risk if it provides ongoing guidance for making informed decisions. To provide that guidance, your best practice for measuring risk should be:

Ongoing

Your organization's risk assessments should be continuously updated with information about your IT environment's current state. When risk data is current, you can trust that you're basing decisions on the technology and vendors you're working with now, not a different set you were working with three months ago.

Prioritized

Your risk assessment practice should make it easier to prioritize risks and risk mitigations in terms of the organization's top initiatives. You have risk scoring in place so that you can compare, for example, the risk of moving a data repository from on-premises to a trusted cloud provider.

Accessible

You can easily access risk assessment reporting whenever necessary. You don't have to dig through 43 Excel spreadsheets to find the analysis you're looking for. You've got risk reporting that you can access quickly as part of the organization's ongoing decision-making.

The world is moving faster than ever. IT environments are vast and complex. By adopting a top-down approach to measuring risk and taking advantage of real-time data collection and automation, you can build the risk measurement practice you need for guiding your organization through the roll out of new services and modernization in the years ahead.

Essential guide for measuring risk

1. Meet with your department heads to understand their long-term strategic objectives for the organization.
2. Assign these objectives scores to understand the relative importance of each.
3. Identify the people, processes, and technologies that support each initiative.
4. Explore the uncertainties about each supporting factor in an initiative's "supply chain."
5. Whenever possible, rely on automation to collect data, such as data about the operating status of endpoints.
6. Meet with stakeholders in various departments to understand their concerns about risks and to collaborate on recommendations for reducing those risks.
7. Assign each uncertainty a score in terms of importance and a percentage in terms of likelihood. Multiply scores by likelihoods to derive a risk score for a particular person or team, process, or technology in an objective's supply chain.
8. Tally the results of your measurements and organize them in a way that relates each risk to a key initiative.
9. Meet again with your organization's leadership for a data-driven discussion about risk. Help them understand existing risks and the decisions that can be made to reduce them.
10. Now that you have a risk measurement framework in place, continue updating it, using automation whenever possible so that risks can be assessed in detail at any time.



Conclusion

Risk, as defined by ISO 31000, means uncertainty about objectives. In this eBook, we shared wisdom about what top initiatives matter to your organization and how to measure their uncertainty for the best possible outcome: the reduction of risks that jeopardize a public sector's ability to protect constituent data and provide digital services.

Endpoint devices play an important role in risk management. To learn how the Tanium platform helps organizations manage, monitor, and secure their endpoints, visit [Tanium.com](https://www.tanium.com) or [request a demo today](#).



Tanium, the industry's only provider of converged endpoint management (XEM), leads the paradigm shift in legacy approaches to managing complex security and technology environments. Only Tanium protects every team, endpoint, and workflow from cyber threats by integrating IT, Compliance, Security, and Risk into a single platform that delivers comprehensive visibility across devices, a unified set of controls, and a common taxonomy for a single shared purpose: to protect critical information and infrastructure at scale. More than half of the Fortune 100 and the U.S. armed forces trust Tanium to protect people, defend data, secure systems, and see and control every endpoint, team, and workflow everywhere. That's the power of certainty.

Visit us at www.tanium.com and follow us on [LinkedIn](#) and [Twitter](#).

© Tanium 2024